

EO-94

Olaf Reports...

FOR YOUR EYES ONLY

Eye-opening Research Reports for Thoughtful Believers

Published exclusively for your private use. Not for redistribution.

Issue 94

In this issue:

The Prophecies of Seth

Research Report: EO-94: Sons of Belial II: The Nephilim

Editor & Publisher: Olaf H. Hage

Long-term subscribers thoroughly familiar with the publication may submit queries to write short research articles or updates. Query should indicate estimated length, specific topic and viewpoint, any qualifications, and sources or supporting evidence consulted. Please allow 1-2 months for reply while we evaluate the idea and sources. If accepted, article must include your name, qualifications (if needed), and documentation sufficient for someone to verify sources, following the format of the publication. Final submissions must be in MS Word 12-point Times Roman. We reserve the right to reject, edit, or rewrite as needed. If rejected, we may write our own article or other works on the same or similar topic, but credit will be given for ideas not previously considered by the editor. There is no payment for articles, but links to websites or other referrals can be included at the author's request. Unless prior arrangements are made, all published articles become the property of the publisher. Republication of such articles is by written permission only.

Vol. 8, No. 10

Entire contents: Copyright © 2016 by Olaf H. Hage. All rights reserved.

This publication or any part thereof may not be copied, emailed, sent or transmitted by any means, without the express written permission of the copyright holder.

Contact: PetraGrail2@gmail.com

"For Your Eyes Only" is \$15/issue. Published monthly.

The Prophecies of Seth

Seth and his priests named the stars and planets and defined the constellations. They built monuments to preserve *Adam's prophecy* about two age-ending cataclysms: a) the Deluge 11,600 years ago and, b) *a fiery destruction* yet to come:

"They (Seth's priests) were the inventors of that specific science that deals with the heavenly bodies and their order (the cycles of the planets and comets and other predictable cosmic bodies). And to prevent their DISCOVERIES (about the orbits of planets and other bodies that cause destruction on earth) from being lost before they could be fully known, (based upon) Adam's prediction that the world will be destroyed on one occasion by the fury of fire, but another time by a violent deluge of water, they (Seth's priests) made two monuments, one of brick, the other of stone. They inscribed their DISCOVERIES on both of them, so that, if the brick monument were destroyed by the flood, the stone edifice might survive and reveal THESE DISCOVERIES to mankind." (Josephus, *Antiquities* I:ii:3, Rabbi Louis Ginzberg, *Legends of the Bible*, p. 62, my rendering from German)

The expected Deluge occurred at the end of the ice age, a time of intense rain, as global sea-level rose 300+ feet when the abrupt uplift of ice-free North America sent a tidal-wave across the Atlantic to crash up against Mt. Ararat in the Caucasus Mountains.

The destruction by fire has not yet happened. The rabbis said Seth's prophecies were intended to survive both the Deluge and the future destruction of the world by fire. The priesthood of Seth intended to survive into our age. Noah, Shem, and Abraham were apparently all in the direct line of Seth's inherited priesthood.

Previously, we saw how Joseph and the Bible preserved the prophecies. Some of those prophecies were probably also told to Ishmael, Abraham's "first-born," but he was still young when they separated. The Islamic religion would later obtain many of the ancient stories from early Jewish and Christian converts, as well as lore Mohammed had learned from his travels and his own priestly family. The Templars, Masons, and other secret societies likely picked up bits of this lost wisdom as well.

It ought not surprise us that ice-age prophecies might endure into our own time in such a fragmented form. Nevertheless, most people in the western religious tradition have heard of "the fire next time." Even pagans knew of this conflagration. Greeks called it the **Ekpyrosis**. Plato referred to it in his account of the sinking of Atlantis, wherein he cites as a source an elderly Egyptian priest of "**Sais**" (on the **Saitic** branch of the Nile).

This old priest would have been controversial in Egypt. The Greek terms "**Sais**" and "**Saitic**" refer to **Seth**, whom Egyptians hated as the enemy of their god **Osiris**. But then Assyria conquered Egypt. During the seventh century B.C., Sethites liberated Egypt. They were on rare good terms, not only with the Greeks, but also with the Jewish people (*The Historical Atlas of Ancient Egypt* by Bill Manley, Penguin, NY, 1996, pp. 113-123). So the priest of **Sais** who told visiting Greeks about Atlantis sinking at the end of the ice-age was apparently a paganized Egyptian heir to some of the ancient discoveries of the priests of Seth. We should note what this priest had to say, for **he may have preserved messages that Seth had intended for our own time:**

"...One of the priests, who was of very great age (a high-ranking initiate of the Sethite priesthood; indeed, he must have been their Chief Priest, because he felt free to disclose his secrets to these Greek outsiders without getting permission) **said: 'You Greeks are but children... There is no old opinion handed down among you by ancient tradition, nor any science hoary with age** (such as the priests of Seth had handed down in their scientific discoveries about dangerous cosmic bodies) **... There have been, AND THERE SHALL BE AGAIN, MANY DESTRUCTIONS OF MANKIND... There is a story that even you have preserved, that once Phaethon, the son of Helios (the Sun), ...was not able to drive (the Sun) in the path of his father** (through the sky, and so he) **BURNT UP ALL THAT WAS UPON THE EARTH and was himself destroyed by a thunderbolt."** (*The Timaeus Dialogue* of Plato, adapted from Ignatius Donnelly's *Atlantis, The Antediluvian World*, 1882, pp. 8-9).

Here we have a possible insight into some of the specifics of the ice-age science of the priests of Seth. It appears they knew about a repeated cycle of burnings of the surface of the earth that were caused by something **massive enough** to shake the planet. At least one such event had already occurred, and it was expected to happen again.

The priest also cited deluges; so this echoes what we are told Adam had warned about. We must conclude that this previous burning, the "Phaethon" event, had already taken place before Adam's prophecy, apparently related to Adam by an earlier source.

This implies there had already been an inhabited world-age before Adam that had also been destroyed by fire. And that is exactly what rabbis have long contended. In fact, they said God had created--and destroyed--six previous world ages prior to Adam; some had perished by deluge, others by "conflagration," said Philo (Ginzberg, pp. 59-60; *Worlds in Collision* by Immanuel Velikovsky, Dell, NY, 1967, pp. 49-50).

This is what the priests of Seth are said to have heard from Adam.

Ancient cultures all over the world, from China and the Pacific islands, to the Mideast and Europe, to peoples throughout the Americas, shared this belief that the earth and mankind have experienced a repeating series of alternating deluges and combustions over vast epochs of time (Velikovsky, pp. 46-52). A shared "Adamic" origin is consistent with this global tradition.

Given this, we should inquire about the cause of these calamities. The priest of *Sais* related what he considered to be the cause:

"Now this (the conflagration of Phaethon) has the form of a myth, but it actually signifies a DECLINATION (approaching toward our planet) OF THE BODIES MOVING ABOUT THE EARTH AND THROUGH THE HEAVENS, AND A GREAT CONFLAGRATION OF THINGS UPON THE EARTH RECURRING AT LONG INTERVALS OF TIME (cycles of repeated burning of the earth because of the close approach of cosmic bodies moving through our solar system on very long orbits like comets)."

Given the date of this account, his ideas are quite scientific. He seems to know of long-period comets that can break in pieces, strike the earth, and explode in fireballs:

"...at the usual period (after a long interval, of time when the comet or other cosmic body returns to threaten the earth), the stream from heaven descends like a pestilence (the global rain of fireballs), and leaves (alive) only those of you (Greeks) who are illiterate and uneducated, and so you have to begin again all over again as children and know nothing of what

happened in ancient times (the previous deluges and conflagrations) ..."

We can see that the old Egyptian Chief Priest of Sethite religion had virtually the same point of view as the rabbinical priests of Seth: **There were repeated cycles of fiery destructions and deluges that reduced global population to a handful of survivors, and only a few Sethite priests retained a memory of these ancient events.**

Human civilization has fallen and risen repeatedly, as global cataclysm has wiped out most of mankind. The few literate survivors form priesthoods to preserve what they can of the old wisdom, its language, history, and science. Viewed this way, the written record of *Genesis* and of its priesthood makes perfect sense. It is the story of those who survived multiple upheavals and held onto a written tradition of the lost world.

All this shows, however, that there were additional records preserved in some form beyond those in *Genesis*. These other sources do not contradict *Genesis*, but they do add new details. *Genesis* itself alludes to some of these other stories (Genesis 4:19-20, 5:4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 24, 30, 6:1-4, 13, 7:1, 10:9, 11:1-9, 47:2-28, 49:10, 50:11, 22-26, etc.).

Whatever else these other accounts may reveal, it is clear that it was the intent of Adam, and of his priestly heirs, that mankind in general, and our latter day generation in particular, be made aware of **some predictable threat orbiting above us in the heavens that was destined to once again endanger the earth with total annihilation, this time by *fire*.**

Jesus warned that if the days to come had not been shortened, there would be no flesh saved alive (Matthew 24:22, Luke 13:20). The implication is that neither man nor beast would survive.

Science is slowly being compelled against its will to admit that this solar system has suffered repeated fiery collisions (*Scientific American* May, 2016, pp. 28-37). For decades, scientists, philosophers, theologians, psychologists, scholars, and other "wise" men had assured us there was nothing to worry about. The assiduous Jewish scholar Immanuel Velikovsky was but one of many researchers who tried to sound the alarm, but they were shouted down, censored, and ostracized for daring to assert that **our solar system is an unstable and hostile place, subject to epochs of planetary cataclysm.**

Yet we now know Velikovsky was right about that, whatever errors he may have made concerning the exact details.

So we must ask the obvious question:

Who is it that is trying to keep mankind in the dark about these cosmic dangers facing our planet?

It seems the honest scientists and well-intentioned leaders have been deceived by a spirit of deception at work among the shadows of the wise.

So, *who* would want all flesh to perish in a fiery destruction?

The answer is to be found in the ranks of *Belial*...

Sons of Belial

Part II: The Nephilim

EO-94 "Sons of Belial II: The Nephilim"

Vol. 8, No. 10: \$15/issue

Copyright 2016 by Olaf H. Hage

All rights reserved.

By Olaf H. Hage

After the Creation, in which the Universe burst into being at a specific location in time and space, when the "sons of God" made a "Big Bang" (based upon the Hebrew text of Job 38:4-7), *Satan*, then called *Shamash-El*, and his angels, were assigned the task of maintaining a gas-giant planet that was then orbiting between the earth and Jupiter.

Although *Shamash-El* apparently considered this job too menial to merit his full attention, it proved too difficult for him; for he allowed his planet to wander too close to Jupiter. It became unstable and blew itself apart (Ezekiel 28:11-19).

Several scientists are convinced at least two Mars-sized objects once occupied the space between Jupiter and the earth. As we have seen, some scientists believe one of these (perhaps both) had orbited the missing gas-giant near what is now the asteroid belt.

Shamash-El chose, it seems, to set up his base of operations on the surface of one of the Mars-sized moons (Isaiah 14:13-14), rather than inside the thick frozen atmosphere of the large gas giant. After the explosion of that planet, he continued to occupy Mars; in his bitterness over his loss, he became known as *Shamael* ("the bitter angel").

When this gas-giant blew apart, large chunks of its core were jettisoned into the far reaches of the solar system. Based on estimates of the mass at the core of Saturn and other gas-giants, there should be at least two earth-sized or larger chunks of *Shamael's* lost planet still orbiting our solar system. There is now, as we have reported, growing evidence that two such

errant super-earths do exist and take thousands of years to orbit the sun. These findings add further support to the exploded planet scenario.

Previously, I had argued that all the cosmic destruction that has hit the earth and the other planets in the solar system since *Shamael's* gas-giant exploded has been the result of this cataclysm. Satan has used that situation to "take credit" for some of these events, making himself man's creator instead of the true God (John 8:33-59). Knowing that this is his mind-set, we can recognize his spirit at work *in the sons of Belial* (Ephesians 2:2).

If another Mars-sized moon had orbited Satan's gas-giant, it could have balanced the gravitational effects of Mars—until Satan began to add mass to Mars by embellishing it as his throne (Ezekiel 28:2-5, 12-14). As Mars became heavier, its orbit changed. This led to the imbalance that destabilized the gas giant, until it exploded (Ezekiel 28:15-19).

This other moon would have fallen into a new orbit endangering the infant earth, then swaddled in a blanket of frozen gas. Scientists say a Mars-sized object hit earth early on, creating our moon. They assume the impacting object was destroyed. I argue it lost its outer layers, but reformed as the planet Mercury, now half the mass of its brother Mars. Mercury is missing all its crust and most of its mantle. Scientists admit this implies a massive collision ("Reunion with Mercury" by J. Kelly Beatty, *Sky & Telescope*, May 2008, pp. 24-27). Why imagine another collision when we already have one in the impact that formed the moon? Besides, Mercury has a long reputation as an errant planet:

In the *Gilgamesh Epic*, Adad (Mercury) worsened the Deluge (*The Gilgamesh Epic and Old Testament Parallels* by Alexander Heidel, University of Chicago, 1963, p. 84, line 98). There is evidence Mercury also ruined Babel, sent plagues at the Exodus, and bumped into Mars in 687 B.C., shifting both planets into their current sedate orbits.

From the biblical viewpoint, God knew Satan's incompetence in failing to prevent the explosion of his planet would nevertheless cause downstream consequences that would work together for the benefit of the children of God (Romans 8:18-39). Satan was *chosen* to oversee the planet that exploded *because* God knew he was incompetent to prevent it. He was given that assignment because God foresaw that his bungling would result in the emergence of mankind and its salvation by Christ (Colossians 1:13-20, Isaiah 46:8-11).

Thus, Satan, in spite of all his snarling evil, was used as a pawn in God's Plan just as was Mercury or Mars. Each played a role, serving a higher purpose (Isaiah 46:8, 11).

Last time we learned that scientists are coming to accept that our solar system is the unlikely result of a chaotic series of extraordinarily improbable cataclysmic planetary

encounters that have created an atypical planetary arrangement unlike most other known planetary systems (Batygin, Laughlin, and Morbidelli, *Scientific American*, May, 2016, pp. 28-37). This conclusion has left researchers uncomfortable; it was not what they expected, nor what they wanted to find (p. 31a).

The orbiting Kepler Observatory has now catalogued thousands of exo-planets around other stars in our region of the Milky Way galaxy (*Ibid.*, p. 31a). This is the "Zone of Life" in which conditions are most favorable for life as we know it. Stars closer to the galactic center get intense radiation from the far higher level of stellar explosions there; conversely, stars farther out from where we are would be starved for the multiplicity of elements produced by supernovas, but which are needed for life-forms like ourselves. (See these arguments in full in *Rare Earth* by Peter Ward.)

Now, after evaluating other planetary systems in this Zone of Life, we see that nothing truly like our own solar system seems to be out there (Batygin *et al.* pp. 30-37). We may be nearly unique in our galaxy and *rare throughout the universe* (p. 32b).

Moreover, the problem is how delicate a balance of seemingly chaotic events was needed to generate our solar system (pp. 30-37). The planetary disturbances, wanderings, and collisions resulted in a blur of complex, unlikely and unpredictable upheavals (*ibid.*).

Not only has our solar system attained a surprisingly *orderly* form, different from the highly unfavorable-for-life configurations we find around other stars, but it achieved this result after undergoing an early stage of *chaotic violence* (*ibid.*). That such unique order could spring from such randomly-violent chaos baffles the theorists (*ibid.*).

But, as we saw last time, this is what one should expect of a scenario in which a solar system chosen by God for life to emerge had been subjected to *a violent planetary explosion* that salted the planets with moons and sent a blizzard of debris hurtling off into orbit to periodically bombard everything. God had a Plan to use all of this chaos to bring about His objectives (Isaiah 46:8-11, Romans 8:18-39, Ephesians 1:3-11, 2:1-10, 3:9-10).

In other words, the strange combination of unlikely order out of chaotic violence is fully consistent with what the Bible and the rabbis have always told us: There is a Divine Plan behind it all, despite fallen angels injecting rebellion and chaos into the creation. God foresees what will happen and utilizes it to achieve His goals (Isaiah 46:8-11).

This is also stated in *Isaiah 46*, where God ridicules the fallen Nephilim idolized by Israel's enemies: **Bel** (**Mars**, representing Belial/Cain/Osiris) and **Nebo** (**Mercury**, representing the false prophetess, Belili/Lilith/Isis). God speaks directly to the Nephilim:

"Remember this, TRANSGRESSORS!" (literally, *rebels* or *apostatizers*: Strong's # 6586; see also # 6585 in the sense of *giants striding away* from God = the fallen angels or Nephilim) **Show some courage and recall the ancient time** (when they were traumatized by their planet's explosion: Note Rev 12:9: "Their place was no longer found in heaven") **Bring to mind the former things of old,** (when the *now-rebellious angels* formerly admitted) **that I am God, and that there is no one else, that I am God, and there is none like Me, declaring the end from the beginning, and from ancient times** (those things that still) **are not yet done, saying, My counsel shall stand, and I shall do all My desire: Calling a Raptor** (this may be a reference to the Rapture) **from the east, the Man that carries out My counsel, from a far country. Yes, I have spoken it! I will also bring it to pass. I have decided it, and I will also accomplish it. Listen to Me, you who feel like mighty ones** (God mocks the fallen angels as cowards) **that are far away from Righteousness** (= Zadek, the High Priestly family, but also the Hebrew name for the planet Jupiter and a title of the Messiah): **I shall bring near My Righteousness** (restoring the house of Zadek to the Temple, bringing in the Messiah, and suggesting a planetary shift). **It shall not be far off** (in deep space). **And My Salvation shall not delay, and I shall place Salvation on Zion, for Israel, My glory!"** (Isaiah 46:8-13)

Notice all these references to "the former things of old" and "ancient times" that evoke the age when there were only angels, before mankind, in "**the beginning**" (Hebrew *reshith*, quoted from Gen. 1:1), when the Universe was created and these fallen angels had originally been praising God (Job 38:7). These references show that God is speaking directly to the only ones who can actually recall these ancient things: The angels.

The angels being addressed are the **transgressors** who *apostatized* from God and *rebelled* against Him. God sees them as cowards, for fleeing from Him and, it seems, from the vicinity of the planet Jupiter, apparently just before their own planet exploded.

He also says they fear His Messiah, Savior of His people ("Righteousness" and "Salvation" = the "Righteous Savior"), who will come to Zion, to the Temple Mount on time (on God's schedule, on one of His feasts). He says this Righteous Man comes from the east, literally, the

sun rising, a reference to Malachi 4:2, when, at "the End" the Messiah comes as a rising Sun "with healing in His wings" like a bird that raises up the sick and revives the dead (Matthew 24:27-31, I Thessalonians 4:13-18):

"The Day is coming, burning like a furnace, and all the arrogant ones and every evildoer will be chaff, and the Daylight coming will set them ablaze!" says YHWH of Hosts, "so that it will leave neither root nor branch of them. But for you who reverence My Name, the Sun of Righteousness will arise with healing in its wings, and you shall go forth (from your graves) and skip about like calves from a stall. And you shall tread down the wicked, for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet on the Day I am preparing," says YHWH of Hosts." (Malachi 4:1-3)

Free-will is the key to understanding God's Plan. If we did not have free-will, we would be pre-programmed robots who could not truly love God, nor anyone else. Free-will makes us either children of God or sons of disobedience, that is, the sons of Belial (Ephesians 2:1-19). We choose our "father" by choosing which one we will obey (John 8:33-59).

Allowing mankind and the angels free-will requires allowing sin and evil to exist. Foreseeing this evil, God provided for His Savior to reconcile all things (Colossians 1:20). The Tree of Knowledge story is clearly about free-will (Genesis 3:1-15).

It was God's will that Satan, not having full understanding of his role (I Corinthians 2:8), might bring about the circumstances by which God's Plan would be fulfilled. At length, however, Satan began to realize he was doomed and his *Nephilim* with him (James 2:19).

All that is left Satan is to try to corrupt the children of God, kill humanity by war, famine, disease and persecution, and seek to destroy the creation (Revelation 12:12-17). God has intervened to stop him, for example, in the Garden, before the Deluge, at the Exodus, and by the Resurrection. We are told God has already set into motion the cosmic event that will shorten the last days (Matthew 24:22, Luke 21:10-36, II Peter 2:1-13, 3, 3-17, Revelation 8:1-12).

So we are part of a grand contest, a chess-match, if you will, where Satan and his *Nephilim* have been out-manuevered by God. He has already made His moves, set His victory on its course, advancing toward us from the icy realm of deep space (Hebrews 12:26).

Realizing humanity was made to become like angels, as children of God, knowing good and evil (Genesis 3:22), Satan and a host of angels rebelled (Ginzberg, pp. 26-42). One of the things Satan did was corrupt the seed of mankind by impregnating Eve (Ginzberg, p. 54,

Genesis 3:13-15, Matthew 13:24-43, I John 3:1-12). These firstborn of Satan were *Cain* (*Belial*)--and his twin sister that the Bible calls "Auset" (*Isis*) or "the screech owl" *Lilith*, the child-tormenting "Night Hag" (Genesis 4:1-25, 6:1-2, see the Hebrew version of Isaiah 34:14).

Lilith had two X-chromosomes, one from Eve and one from her father *Satan*, who intended his X-chromosome to spawn a race of giants who could inherit his psychic powers. He was trying to generate more than mere *Nephilim* offspring; his plan was to generate an army of unstoppable super-soldiers, "the mighty men of old, the men of renown" (Genesis 6:4, Deuteronomy 1:28, 2:10-12, I Samuel 17:1-51, Revelation 9:1-19, 13:4).

Having spawned *Cain* and *Lilith*, the patriarch and matriarch of his Satanic horde, *Shamael* unleashed his fellow fallen angels, the *Nephilim*, to shape-shift into giant human form to kidnap and impregnate nearly all daughters of Adam's line (Genesis 6:1-4).

The Bible is not alone in describing these giants marauding the earth, in search of food and females. The whole world feared them. The Greeks called them *Titans*. India called them the *Naga*. Sumer made them the *Anunnaki*.

Native Americans knew of the giants and their huge construction projects. They described the violent wars their ancestors fought to drive them out of the land. In some cases, the giants could only be defeated when a great Flood from the north swept them down into the sea (Velikovsky, pp. 195-196).

The English translations usually sound as if the *Nephilim* were marrying these women, not raping them: "They took them wives of all which they chose" (Genesis 6:2). But the Hebrew text may also be understood to say that Cain was directing these abductions:

"They took (Strong's #3947 Hebrew: ויקחו) seized without asking) for themselves women (both already married and unmarried: Strong's # 802 נשים) from all of those (women) Asar (= Cain/Belial/Osiris) chose." (Genesis 6:2)

Normally, in those days, any female old enough to be considered a "woman" (age 13 and over) had been married off by her family: a teenage girl was normally not single. That means that the *Nephilim* could only be "taking" already married women (or widows and betrothed brides). The rabbis understood that the women were seduced and corrupted through the use of magic potions and drugs (Ginzberg, pp. 63-64).

The Greek and Roman myths said the "gods" had not only raped women, but had used spells, drugs, and other forms of seduction and trickery. In some cases, the women were assaulted while they slept. Boys and young men were also taken. The Bible refers to the

intercourse between fallen angels and males when the men of Sodom improperly assumed that the two angels at Lot's house were prepared to have sex with them.

The idea that the gods or angels might visit a woman in the night was so prevalent that it shows up in the story of King Arthur. In that case, the entity disguised itself as the woman's husband. The same tale was told of Alexander the Great's mother. Even some Roman Emperors had supposedly been spawned by the gods.

From this it was no great leap to accept the notion that women were often being raped in the night by an *Incubus*, and men by a *Succubus*. This was dismissed much of the time by the church, but the people firmly believed it to be true.

We might also dismiss it, but similar stories abound today. They involve mind-controlling psychic "aliens" abducting men and women in the night by hypnosis. They sexually molest them on board their craft before returning them to their beds.

The supposed "aliens" breathe our air and are adapted to earth's air pressure and gravity, not to mention our bacteria, viruses, molds, *etc.* They can procreate with us, which requires a close genetic match. So they are clearly earth-born. They fit the range of humans, from 3 to 10 feet in height. Cain, by the way, produced both dwarves and giants (Ginzberg, p. 59). Some of his offspring were small, grey dolphin-like hybrids of fish and men, who had sex with humans (p. 19). Others seem to be human-reptile hybrids.

If they were cloning humans with reptiles and dolphins, we ought not be surprised to hear reports of "alien" creatures that are insectoids. Cloning human and insect DNA is no different. Thus, the Nephilim were not only corrupting mankind sexually, but were using women to give birth to these bizarre hybrids as well (implied by Genesis 6:11-13, 20).

Only Noah's ancestors eluded these *Nephilim* rapists (Genesis 6:5-13). Noah's genes were still intact (Genesis 6:9). Noah had three sons, but there is no mention of him having un-raped daughters for his sons to marry (Genesis 5:32, 6:10, 18-19, 7:1-2). If Noah took God literally, Noah was required to marry his sons to women of Cain's corrupted line:

"And YHWH said to Noah, 'Come into the ark, you and all of your house, because I have seen that you are righteous before Me in this generation: From every beast, the clean, you shall take to yourself by sevens, male and female; and from the beast Asar, not clean it is, (but even so, take its line to yourself) by two, male and female." (Genesis 7:1-2)

The implied reading is: **"From the beast Asar (even though it is not clean), you shall take to yourself by two, male and female."** The word "*Asar*" can mean "*that*" in Hebrew, but it was also the Egyptian name of *Osiris*, who was *Cain* and *Belial*, as we saw last time. Strictly speaking, God's command *had* to be interpreted both ways.

Consider how Noah would have viewed this second layer of that dual command from God: **"Noah, take to your family brides from the beast Asar (Cain/Belial), even though the family of Cain is not clean."** If Noah was unsure if "unclean animals" included *Cain's* lineage as well, the use of *Asar*, which was pointedly not used in the parallel passage about the clean animals, would have forced Noah, at the very least, to wonder if God also intended him to take representatives *even of the unclean line of Asar/Cain* into not only the ark, but into "all of your house." That is, Noah must have understood God to be requiring Noah to marry daughters of *Cain's* line to his three sons.

We are told *Cain's Nephilim* giant heir, **"Jabal, is the father of those dwelling in tents and with livestock** (note that it does not say "all") **and his (giant) brother's name was Jubal, who is the father of all those playing the harp and the organ.**

And Zillah also bore Tubal-Cain, the hammerer of all engraving tools of bronze and iron" (Genesis 4:20-21). The wording implies "all" who were practicing the latter two occupations after the Deluge were descendants of *Cain*. How could this be? That would imply that Noah would have married his sons to daughters of *Cain's* line.

Also, Jesus had said, **"They were eating and drinking and giving and receiving in marriage until** (or "during": *The Analytical Lexicon Revised*, by Harold K. Moulton, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, 1978, p. 63) **the day when Noah entered the ark"** (Matthew 24:38).

This story seems to originate with the only surviving witnesses: Noah's family. They had to have personally witnessed the wedding feast Jesus described. And the Greek implication is that this wedding feast was going on, literally while Noah and his family were walking into the ark.

It appears that they had been attending this wedding. And in those days, the attendees would have belonged to one of the two families in the marriage. That would mean Noah's sons were the bridegrooms. Perhaps Noah's "missing" daughters were also being wed to the brothers of his sons' brides.

We have support for this wedding being that of Noah's family: Given that Shem's line was reckoned firstborn and that his son Arpaxshad was born over a year after the Flood, then the firstborn of Noah's other sons also must not have been born until the second year following the Deluge (Genesis 9:1, 18-29, 10:1-32, 11:10-13).

This means the sons of Noah had apparently not had sex with their wives until after they exited the ark. Even discounting the year on the ark as too traumatic or too lacking in privacy for sex, it seems they had not had sex before the Flood either. The best explanation for this would be that they were all newlyweds when the Flood came. And that again indicates the wedding feast on the day the Flood began had been their own.

All this is fully consistent with Noah's sons marrying women who were of Cain's "unclean" line. Noah's daughters-in-law had at least one X-chromosome of Cain's line in every cell of their bodies. Their grandsons would have inherited these X-chromosomes, on average, half the time. The next generation would have a 75% chance of inheriting these X-chromosomes. And by today, there might be hardly anyone whose ancestors had escaped those X-chromosomes from Cain's lineage (Romans 3:9-31, 7:18, 8:1-39).

We are also informed that some of the Nephilim were on the earth after the Flood (Genesis 6:4). Assuming they continued their rapine practices, then they would have been injecting their corrupt Y-chromosomes into the post-Flood gene pool. That means that both the Nephilim X- and Y-chromosomes were polluting mankind after the Flood.

There is more evidence. If the Adamic genes had been the only ones still on the earth, then all the X & Y genes today would be identical, and we would all look the same. But we all look different. So there must be non-Adamic genes in nearly all of us.

This can be explained if *Nephilim* genes have infected mankind. In other words, nearly all of us on the planet may be contaminated with the genes of Belial (Romans 11:32).

The "Good News" of the New Testament is that "the sons of Disobedience" (which is Paul's favorite term for the sons of Belial) have been saved by Christ, and need only accept what Paul calls "the gift of God... that no one should boast" (Ephesians 2:1-22).

The Bible insists that our destiny is not written upon our genes, but upon our hearts, that is, our spirits. God calls all to repentance, but only a few are "dragged in" as Paul had to be, struck down on the road to Damascus. We all have free will to choose to believe, but not all have "a love of the Truth so as to be saved" (II Thessalonians 2:10).

Yet, if all of this were known to the original apostles, how is it that the Roman Church came to reject the story of the corruption of mankind by the *Nephilim*?

Pagan Rome traced its roots back to **Romulus**, who murdered his brother **Remus** and fled into exile: The story of **Cain** and **Abel**. But the Romans rejected any attack on the behavior of Romulus. To the Romans, Romulus was their founder and nearly a god.

Romans were not eager to adopt a religion in which their spiritual founder was called by Jesus (John 8:44) "a murderer from the beginning... the father of lies." Romans had worshipped Romulus as the ancestor of their royal rulers. Christianity contended that Romulus was, if not Cain himself, one like Cain, an heir of the Evil One (Revelation 17:10).

Rome felt driven to persecute Christianity that said the ancestor of Rome's rulers was, in effect, the *Nephilim* heir of Satan, *Cain/Belial*, the first head of the Beast.

There could be no end to the persecutions unless Christianity abandoned this idea and absolved Rome and its ruling patrician elite from any taint of being *sons of Belial*.

Yet, this is exactly what the Bible indicated, especially in the book of *Revelation*, where it detailed the various manifestations of Satan in the guise of world-ruling empires leading up to the one that "*now is*" in John's day, namely **Rome** (Revelation 17:10).

There was no way around this accusation unless Rome acknowledged Nephilim ancestry... or unless the leaders of the church abandoned their faith in the literal text of the Bible. In the fourth century, the Church of Rome surrendered.

From that point forward, Catholicism could no longer properly view the history of the world from a biblical perspective. It could no longer see that the pagan gods were actually the descendants of Cain and his twin sister. It could no longer trace the details of gentile history, because it was so entangled with the stories of gods and goddesses. The Church of Rome was left to dismiss it all as silly myths of no value.

Thus, it was not the repeated pagan burning of libraries that deprived mankind of access to its past, but rather, it was the cowardice of the church in abandoning its own beliefs that led it to devalue whatever histories survived the flames.

Ironically, the pagan myths themselves detailed the sins and perfidy of their gods. They were not shy about detailing all their adulteries, rapes, treacheries, and murders.

But when the church decided it was all unworthy fable, the pagan "gods" became absolved of their guilt. Pagans were deprived of the need to explain the real reason for their gods' mendacity and wickedness. And if their gods were mythical, then paganism was not *the slavish worship of the Nephilim by the sons of Belial*, but rather, merely a foolish fantasy engaged in by uneducated bumpkins. Not to worry: no "dragons" there.

Once this notion was accepted by Rome, it was easy for the "Enlightenment" to adopt the same dismissive view of pagan myth and extend it to the church itself. That led first to ridicule

of its beliefs, then discrimination, and finally persecution, as the French Revolution and numerous other slaughters by "illuminated" leaders have demonstrated.

The same ancient Satanic pride that vaunts itself above other angels and even God Himself, the pride that elevated Cain above Abel, and the sons of Cain above those of Seth, the pride that forced its seed on the daughters of Adam, the pride that made pagans see uncooperative Israelites as sons of an "inborn madness" (as Roman Emperor Constantine declared), and Christians as a threat to the very survival of Rome (as various other emperors had assured its citizens), that same pride assured Islam it was proper to force the world to bend to its will, and led the Vatican to crush dissenting Christians, and led communists, Nazis and a host of others to murder all who got in their way, because they hinder the achievement of an always elusive "greater good." Yes, and that is the same pride that convinces us all that everything would be just fine if only we could compel everyone else to submit to our personal utopian vision of how things ought to be.

The spirit of the Nephilim will eagerly course through all of our veins if we let it. It will delight in justifying every evil we may wish to commit for our cause, and will leap with joy if it can lure us into crediting God for our vilest actions.

It is why we long for leaders who promise to "fix" everything to our liking.

There is nothing new in our bad habits. From the beginning, mankind has sought to dominate its fellows. That is but the most obvious heritage of our Nephilim past.

Cain's pride led him to found a city named after his Nephilim son. Remember, technically all Cain and Lilith's offspring were *Nephilim*. They were giant descendants of Cain (Ginzberg, p. xxii), and they would also interbreed with the *Nephilim* (Genesis 6:1).

That included Lamech's sons, Jubal, Jabal, and Tubal-Cain. To the Greeks, being proud of their own myths, these three pre-Flood giants had become Zeus, Poseidon, and Hades. They were rivals for dominion with their father, Cronos. He would correspond to the pre-Flood descendent of Cain whom the Bible calls **Lamech** (Genesis 4:16-24).

The clues linking Cronos to Lamech are now almost buried. In Sumer, he was called "Lumha," but also known there as "Enki" or as "Ea" in Akkadian (Turner, *Oxford Dictionary of Ancient Deities*, pp. 161a, 167, 296b). He fathered the patrons of various arts and crafts before the Flood. He was fed magical plants and vomited out gods. This is similar to the story of Cronos, who was fed a stone by Zeus and vomited up Hades and Poseidon, both of whom he had swallowed (Turner, p. 135). Cronos had killed his father, just as Lamech, while hunting for something to eat, was claimed to have killed his ancestor, Cain (Ginzberg, *Legends of the Bible*,

pp. xx-xxiv, 60-61). This is a good example of how early traditions about pre-Flood events became muddled (pp. xx-xxiv).

Likewise, Egyptian tradition says there had been a great famine in Egypt when Osiris (Cain-Belial) and his family reached the Nile valley, where people were reduced to cannibalism. Ice-core data has confirmed that the peak of the last ice age was so severe, atmospheric carbon dioxide levels fell low enough to endanger the survival even of plant life (see *Confessions of a Greenpeace Dropout: The Making of a Sensible Environmentalist*, by Patrick Moore, published on November 22, 2010).

The myths claim Osiris/Cain/Belial saved the Egyptians by teaching them how to grow their own food. They make him the king (first of the pharaohs). He went on a world tour, teaching agriculture. This implies he was responding to a global famine and that he established the first global empire. Science confirms that the first known cultivated grain was in the Nile valley, in the Faiyum, and dates to that same epoch, *c.* 18,000 years ago.

So Cain, a sibling murderer, who was viewed by his own mother as cannibalistic (Ginzberg, p. 55), sought to redeem himself by teaching agriculture, and was later nearly killed by his other brother, Seth, or shot with an arrow by his hungry descendent Lamech.

The basic elements of the Cronos myth are in Cain's story: Generational family feuding over global dominion. Famine and cannibalism. The murder of relatives. Three competing brothers. Giants and gods or angels. Dead patriarchs who don't stay dead (Revelation 13:1-15). And both stories take place in that misty realm of the pre-Flood world.

Cultures around the world have retained bits and pieces of the same traditions. Nearly all recall the Flood, the Garden, a Serpent or Trickster, the mixing of the seeds of gods and men, the feuding brothers, the fratricide and exile of the farmer, the famine and the giant cannibals. The details vary, of course, but it is clear they are recalling a common record of humanity's earliest memories.

The Bible barely hints at the famine that has so crucial a role in pagan myths. We are told that Adam will sweat to mill grain for bread, that the earth will bring forth thorns and thistles for him, and that it will not yield its full output for Cain (Genesis 3:17-19, 4:12).

Even so, the rabbis did glean a famine from these few texts. They also inferred that there were giants. Of course, it is conceivable pagan myths and Jewish legends had cross-pollinated over the course of time. Still, science is now gradually confirming these stories: It has found that there was a global sea-level surge at the end of the ice-age, that there were a lot of very large human skeletons then, averaging 8 inches taller than today, and that human DNA shows a

genetic bottleneck, as small as one man and one woman, occurred **23,000** years ago near Israel, around the time animals were first domesticated.

This date is crucial. While "Scientific" Creationists have been trying to impose a 6,000-year medieval view of history on a Bible that makes no such assertion and whose Hebrew text requires no such interpretation, they have squandered a golden opportunity to show how the Scriptures are actually being supported by scientific discoveries.

The Jewish people, however, were not misled. **Their rabbis had been telling them for ages (Genesis 1:2, 2:24, Isaiah 34:11, 45:18, Jeremiah 4:23, Ephesians 3:5, Colossians 1:26) that the world was a lot older than Adam's time, at least seven times older, in fact (Ginzberg, pp. 2, 26, 59-60, more extensive documentation will be found in my book, *Paradise Found*).**

Fear of the rabbis kept Christians from studying their works. This led churches to be seduced by pseudo-science that appealed to their pride. They wanted to believe that mankind was nearly as old as the heavens and the earth. They lost touch with Biblical Hebrew, relying on the Masonic Authorized "King James" Version (KJV), which used poor Medieval manuscripts (*History of the Bible in English*, 3rd ed., by F. F. Bruce, Oxford University Press, NY, 1978, pp. 96-112). The KJV is not an inspired translation: Only 10% was newly-done, updating the Bible of the Anglican Church, of whom the Masonic King, James I was head (pp. 98-111). James intended it to wipe out the Protestant Reformation's *Geneva Bible* (pp. 96-97). The KJV was used by Anglicans to persecute Protestants and burn their Bibles, but Protestants kept using the Geneva long after it was outlawed (p. 111n2). If forced to use a KJV, pastors searched out the underlying Hebrew and Greek texts, ignoring the KJV's Anglican doctrinal bias.

The KJV propaganda failed. By the 1800's, Bible-believing Protestant churches had realized the Bible said the world was far older than 6,000 years. Even the "Scientific Creationists" admitted that all the Bible colleges then taught an old earth (*The Genesis Record* by Henry M. Morris, Baker Book House, Grand Rapids, MI, 1976, pp. 40-46).

When one realizes that the Bible does not teach a 6,000-year old universe, but has a much longer time-frame, it is easy to match the scientific record to the *Genesis* history. **Reading it in Hebrew, the old rabbis realized there is a seven-fold chronology in Genesis.** On this scale, the Deluge fell 11,600 years ago as sea-level rose at the end of the last ice age. Adam and Eve lived 7 x 1656 years earlier, or 23,000 years ago, consistent with DNA evidence (*The Search for Eve* by Michael H. Brown, Harper & Row, NY, 1990, pp. 32, 100, 111, 239, 302, 314). Cain's famine fell 18,000 years ago, where the hard data now places one. Noah's post-Deluge migrations from Ararat now line up with a series of sites leading to *Gobekli Tepe* and *Edessa* in Turkey (*National Geographic*, June, 2011, pp. 34-59).

Post-Flood agriculture begins when and where *Genesis* said. Egypt's settlement corresponds nicely. The date of Babel's language divergence matches what modern linguists find (*Scientific American*, May 2016, pp. 61-65). Using the ancient rabbinical 7-fold chronology, the agreement of the Bible with history and science is easily shown. So, if all this is true, how did we get misled about *Genesis* history and the *Nephilim*?

This is nothing new. The Jewish legends recount that the very first thing the *Nephilim* did, after beginning to defile the daughters of Adam (Genesis 6:1-2), was to teach mankind their faulty version of science and history (Ginzberg, pp. 62-65).

If anyone doubts that fallen angels lie about history, the most fundamentalist believer need only turn to *Genesis 3:1* and look at the first words of the serpent as he tempted Eve:

"Indeed, DID GOD SAY, 'You shall not eat of any tree of the Garden?'"

His first ploy was to *rewrite* God's history, creating confusion about what God had actually said. In fact, his version was the opposite of what God had told Adam.

Eve was not fooled. She corrected Satan, saying they could eat of any tree, but not the one in the midst of the Garden. So far, so good. But then she added something God had not said:

"We must neither eat it, NOR TOUCH IT, lest we die" (Genesis 3:4).

Satan was ready for this. He was apparently touching the fruit, for Eve perceived that it was not harming him. So she falsely assumed it was safe to eat.

But God never said touching it would harm anyone. From where had Eve gotten this idea? Had someone already lied to her about what God had said? Who would have told her to not even touch the fruit, lest she die?

Adam.

There really is no other candidate suggested by the text. God had given the fruit tree warning to Adam, and he had told Eve about it.

So Adam told her a lie. Of course, he loved Eve and wanted to keep her safe. It must have seemed like a small thing to exaggerate God's warning just a little bit in the hope that it might help keep her safe.

There's just one little problem with this argument: Jesus stated that **"the Devil... from the beginning... is a liar and THE FATHER OF LIES"** (John 8:44).

In effect, Jesus indicated Adam was not the first to lie. Satan was. That implies that Satan had lied to Adam before approaching Eve. He apparently got Adam to warn her not even to touch the tree lest she die (Ginzberg, p. 38).

Satan is an equal-opportunity liar; Jesus said that Satan lies to everybody about everything (John 8:44). He even tried to deceive Jesus three times.

His first known lies were to Adam and Eve, then sinless. So why do we falsely assume our churches and preachers cannot be deceived (Matthew 24:4-11, 23-27)? **In fact, much of the New Testament is spent warning the church not to be deceived:** This included Holy Spirit-filled believers present on Pentecost day, the chief apostles, their disciples, and even members of Jesus' own family, and hundreds of people who had witnessed Him after He was raised from the dead. All were warned not to be deceived.

The end-time church, as prophesied, is under great deception and few will fail to be misled (Matthew 24, Mark 13, Luke 21, II Corinthians 11, Galatians 3, Ephesians 6, II Thessalonians 2, II Timothy 3, I Peter 4, II Peter 3, I John, II John, Revelation 11, 13, 18-20). **The Nephilim have a key role in this end-time deception** (II Corinthians 11:13-15, Ephesians 6:11-13, Colossians 2:8-18, II Thessalonians 2:8-12, Revelation 12:9-17, 13:14, 16:13-14).

"Nephilim" are "cast out ones." They are the "Naga" of India or the "Anunnaki" ("Anu-Naga" = "heaven's outcasts") of Sumer. God tells Cain he will be **"a fugitive and a vagabond (wanderer)"** (Genesis 4:12). In Hebrew, God says that Cain will be **"a N'gA and a NoD"** (Strong's # 5128 נִיַע and #5110 נִיַד). We recognize **"NoD"** from the "land of Nod" or place of wandering. But does **"N'gA"** signify more than an exiled fugitive?

Here we have a mystery. Both words are "missing" their middle letter, namely a "Vaw" or "W" sound, with a numerical value of 6 (see Strong's). This missing letter is technically referred to as making the word "defective" (Strong's # 5112). But are the words truly defective? Could there be good reasons for their odd spelling?

First, without the two missing letters, the numerical value of the phrase is 180, or 60 + 60 + 60. This may foreshadow Cain's future "Mark of the Beast:" 666 (Rev 13:18).

But there is something else going on. Without the middle Vaw, the **"N'gA"** could take on a different pronunciation. I have suggested that with the lower-case **"g"** that the Hebrew letter picks up in its more consonantal form.

In other words, it could be pronounced like the "Naga" of India (Turner pp. 332-333) And this would nicely align it with an early tradition that **Cain's first journey was to "ha Nod-ia" or**

"India," a name which at the time was deemed to encompass lands much closer to the Holy Land than it now does. There is also a tradition that the Serpent in the Garden was hurled into "India" (*Book of Adam & Eve* I:xviii).

Moreover, knowing Hebrew's penchant for word-play, we merely need go to the words pronounced like "Naga" in *Strong's* (Nos. 5050-5054, 5060-5061), and we find still more insight into the purpose behind this supposedly "defective" spelling:

- 5050: "to glitter, to shine, to ILLUMINATE" (i.e., by the god of the *Illuminati*).
- 5051: "bright, shining" (a reference to Cain's angelic father: II Corinthians 11:14).
- 5052: "Brilliance" or "Light bringer" (a name with the meaning of "Lucifer").
- 5053: "morning" or "dawn" (mistranslated for Hebrew *Sokar* in Isaiah 14:12).
- 5054: "splendor or "brightness" (Ezekiel 28:17).
- 5060: "to attack, to lay hands upon violently, or to DESTROY" (Revelation 9:11).
- 5061: "a leper, a WOUNDED person" (Revelation 13:2).

Once exiled, Cain is not referred to again by this name anywhere in the Hebrew Scriptures. Only in the New Testament does his name re-appear. It may be that (this is good news for all of us) the apostles understood that Christ had literally paid for the sins "of the whole world" (1 John 2:2), and so the blotting out of Cain's name was lifted for the Christian age (1 John 3:12). Even so, he will sin again and be destroyed (Revelation 13:1ff).

But in the Old Testament, when still blotted-out, Cain could only be referred to by indirect allusions that employed word-plays on "N'gA" and "NoD." Thus, we see the kind of indirect references to him, and his father Satan, as indicated above.

As usual, whenever it seems there is a "defect" in the Bible, it turns out there is more to the story. One more note: The two missing Vaws forecast Cain's two missing arms (the *Vaw* is an upraised arm), when, as Osiris, he is dismembered by Seth.

There is probably more to this verse (Genesis 4:12) than we have seen, but you get the idea: God prophesied Cain's future in detail; yet He did it with only 5 Hebrew letters. Remember, in Cain's case, every letter was fulfilled, even the so-called "defective" ones.

This curse was so terrifying not one Old Testament author after *Genesis 4* would ever dare utter or write down Cain's name. Not even in the four Gospels do we find Cain mentioned by

name. Not even after Christ was raised from the dead and Pentecost had filled the disciples with the Holy Spirit, did the young church use Cain's name.

It was only very late, somewhere around the time of Nero's persecution, after the book of *Revelation* began to circulate in the church, that we find Jude (Jude 11), Paul (Hebrews 11:4), and John (I John 3:12) finally beginning to use the name of "Cain" in their writings. James had been martyred by *A.D.* 63, when Jude wrote. Paul wrote *Hebrews* at the end of his life, probably when he was under house arrest in Rome.

All three men were contemplating their own deaths and felt they had very little to risk at that point. The fear had been that the Jewish authorities would be outraged if the apostles were caught using Cain's blotted-out name in public. On the other hand, their use of the name "Cain" signified how universal was the gift of salvation offered by Christ: If Christ ended the blotting-out of his name, even Cain was eligible to repent and be saved!

But, it seems, he was unwilling. He refused to believe that he could be forgiven.

Or perhaps it is worse than that. Perhaps Cain felt he had done nothing wrong, that he had no need to be forgiven.

So the barrier was his stubborn pride, like his father.

In any case, his fate illustrates a vital point: Everyone can be saved. The barrier is not on God's side of the equation, but in our own hearts.

This Gospel of salvation as the free gift of God through the priestly mediation of Christ was heady stuff in those days. It rejected the existing Levitical chief priests as illegitimate, a point we shall return to in the future. It declared the Messiahship of Jesus, whose claim on the Kingdom had been rejected by the Sanhedrin. It welcomed in all the "unclean, foreign" Gentiles who wished to accept Christ as their Lord and Savior.

In other words, it was way out on the far *left* of the political spectrum of its day.

We do not feel comfortable with that thought today, because our world has shifted far to the left of where Christianity was. So far has the world moved that Christianity is now deemed by its enemies as some kind of "extreme right-wing" religion.

Yet the Bible has not changed. It is human politics that is in flux.

Human beings are fickle. The political climate and all of the various man-made religions continually morph and change. But the biblical God remains constant.

Cain and his twin sister and their giant offspring were the shape-shifting brood of the *Nephilim*, the fallen ones. They are the seed of beings who once helped to create this Universe, eons ago. **They do not humble themselves easily.**

Accordingly, they did not relish being called "fallen" or identified as rejects and exiles. To avoid this, they began to adopt new names.

In every ancient culture, and continuing to this day, they have delighted in making up creative new titles for themselves while they deceive the unwary into assisting them in their endless schemes. Like some marketing genius on Madison Avenue, Cain has made up a series of new brand names by which to promote himself.

So do not be surprised if he should call himself "Jesus" or invent a name that he claims is Christ's "true" name, of which there seem to be an inordinate number.

Remember this: It is the **authority** of Jesus that has power. It is not a mantra you chant (Matthew 6:6-15). It is not some magical pronunciation. The New Testament word used for "name" in every case (Strong's # 3686: "*onoma*" ὄνομα) means an "*authorization*" as opposed to being a reference to how it is pronounced. In Christianity, the authority to save comes from **Jesus**, not from how well *we* pronounce His name.

Cain, however, is very sensitive on such matters. He is quite insistent that his rituals and chants be followed slavishly. Whenever you encounter this sort of behavior, you can be sure Killjoy himself has wandered by and left his mark on the local religion.

From the earliest form of Cain worship, when Isis established his cult at Abydos as the revived Osiris, repetitious reptilian ritual has dominated false religion. Behind the façade of honorific ritual, steadily multiplying in detail and complexity, is **fear**: It is the need to have absolute power and control over others, to *force* them to bow and kneel and chant in unison like mindless robots.

Why had Isis adopted this style of worship?

She was terrified of losing power. Her position in Egypt was precarious. Cain, as Osiris, was the agricultural expert who saved Egypt. Isis was merely his wife. Egypt did not owe her anything. Her claim on the rulership was derived from Horus, the son she had cloned from Osiris's genes (Revelation 13:11-15). Horus looked like Osiris and was accepted as Osiris reborn. Isis ruled merely as Horus' regent.

Thus, all of the pomp and power of Isis was founded upon the mythos of Horus as the revived Osiris. To generate belief in this myth, Isis created elaborate rituals to act out the

supposed story of Osiris' journey through the Underworld and all the spirits that he had encountered in the process of being transformed into Horus. Inculcating faith in this tale was accomplished by endless ritual repetition.

Moreover, she combined every conceivable appeal to sensory reinforcement. A pungent incense was burned, unusual food was eaten, colorful robes were worn by the priests, hypnotic music was played, and the people were given drugs, among other things. The whole effect was to overwhelm the senses and create a totally involving pleasurable experience that was hard to forget and that the people would want to repeat every year.

In the ceremonies, the drugged participants would be revved up into a frenzy to engage in acts of sacrifice. In one later variation, the rituals of Cybele, the males were led to self-castrate in emulation of Attis (Osiris) being emasculated by Seth (Turner, p. 137). The castrated males would then qualify as initiates into her priesthood.

Drugs and self-mutilation are keys to the Nephilim mindset. We shall encounter these things again and again. Drugs, in fact, are the most potent form of self-mutilation because they corrupt the mind and soul of the victim.

The purpose of the *Nephilim* wanting to corrupt the minds of victims is to destroy the basis of their humanity, trying to make humans into zombies, the walking dead. The more drugs they do, the more brain damage they have. But long before most users lose their minds completely, they overdose.

People have been deceived into thinking the goal is to subjugate mankind. That is a mere intermediate goal. The ultimate objective is the total destruction of mankind. Jesus said this (John 10:10), and it is a tenet of the ancient religion of Persia, the Zoroastrian faith. It is worth recounting their version of the ancient evil Serpent, whom they called *Azhi Dabaka* (Turner, pp. 85-86):

The name may have Hebrew origins. One form is "*Azbadak*" (Turner, p. 85), which seems to be derived from "*A-Zadek*," meaning "not righteous." Like Satan, he is a poisonous serpent who has seven heads (Revelation 12:3). At the end, he will devour a third of humanity and a third of its vegetation (I Peter 5:8, Revelation 8:7, 9:18). But his chief goal is to exterminate mankind (Turner, p. 85; Matthew 24:22, Mark 13:20, Luke 21:35, Revelation 12:17).

Curiously, while the giant *Nephilim* seem to become cannibals who ate anything and threatened to wipe out all life (Genesis 6:11-13, 7:2-3), Lilith-Isis and her offspring are unable to conceive without raping or cloning (Ginzberg, pp. 35, 65). Likewise, the pagan goddesses

usually seem to give birth only to monsters. Thus, both male and female *Nephilim* seem to be genetically programmed to *terminate* real humanity.

The *Nephilim* are not here to rescue us, help us, or enslave us. Their goal is to *end* us. They may try to borrow DNA for manufacturing hybrid creatures, but they hate us.

By contrast, Isis needs our hormones to maintain herself. Isis, Cain's sister, is after all, half-human. So she milks us like cattle to keep herself alive and energize her shape-shifting abilities (Turner, pp. 291, 441). This has led to a long war (an actual shooting war) between her and the reptilian *Nephilim* who seek to annihilate us.

So she may pretend to be our friend, but her real goal is to squeeze mankind like a lemon to obtain our hormones. Yet she has no interest in preserving our minds. She fears our ability to think. She does not want us to realize her vampiristic view of humanity.

That means she is quite content to keep us drugged up, unable to resist.

However, the first effect of drugs is to reduce the ability of the mind to perceive the fine distinctions between right and wrong, good and evil, truth and lies, fact and fantasy. This was by design, of course. The last thing Isis wanted was for the Egyptians to begin to ask questions about her claim to rulership. She looked for drug combinations that could keep humans docile and obedient, gullible and hypnotically controllable. These drugs, along with alcohol and obsessive ritual, kept Egypt enslaved thousands of years.

God foresaw the desire of the *Nephilim* to corrupt and destroy mankind. The Bible suggests the purpose of transferring Adam and Eve to a special Garden may have been to isolate them from other humans (Genesis 2:15, 20-24), not just the *Nephilim*. Why did they need to be protected in this way? What had happened at the close of the previous world age? How was that world destroyed? Were there any survivors? The rabbis said God had to destroy that prior world. Why?

Next time in EO-95 Sons of Belial III: The Bible's Lost World